

# Leveraging Machine Learning and Optimization in Home Health Care: Emerging Trends and Future Opportunities

Ghofran Massaoudi<sup>\*1</sup>, and Abir Chaabani<sup>2</sup>

## I. ABSTRACT

Home Health Care (HHC) delivers medical and personal care in patients' homes, offering an alternative to hospital treatment. Growing demand due to aging populations and chronic diseases creates challenges in caregiver assignment, scheduling, and demand forecasting, leading to higher costs, caregiver burnout, and reduced patient satisfaction.

To address these challenges, advanced computational approaches are increasingly explored. In particular, the integration of Machine Learning (ML) and Optimization (OPT) enables adaptive, data-driven solutions. This article explores traditional, metaheuristic, and hybrid methods to improve efficiency, analyzing current methodologies, challenges, limitations, and future research directions.

**Keywords:** Home Health Care, Optimization, Machine Learning

## II. INTRODUCTION

Home Health Care (HHC) provides critical medical and personal care services directly to patients, offering a cost-effective alternative to institutionalized healthcare. With aging populations and rising chronic diseases, demand for HHC is surging—projections show adults over 65 will make up 21% of the population by 2030, while the U.S. HHC market is expected to grow from \$94.17 billion (2022) to \$153.19 billion by 2029 [30]. This growth intensifies challenges in resource allocation, caregiver scheduling, and balancing costs with service quality.

Traditional methods, such as manual planning and rule-based systems, fail to address HHC's dynamic demands, often leading to inefficiencies. Even classical optimization techniques (e.g., MILP) struggle with scalability and real-time adaptability [6]. To overcome these limitations, recent research integrates machine learning (ML) and optimization (OPT)—leveraging predictive analytics for demand forecasting and reinforcement learning for adaptive decision-making. Hybrid models combining metaheuristics (e.g., GA, PSO) with ML further enhance scheduling robustness under uncertainty.

In this paper, we systematically review ML and OPT applications in HHC, analyzing traditional and hybrid approaches. We highlight key challenges, real-world implementations, and emerging trends to advance HHC efficiency, concluding with

future research directions for intelligent, data-driven care delivery.

## III. PROBLEM STATEMENT

Home Health Care (HHC) providers need to consider various factors to optimize operational excellence by balancing patient needs, caregiver availability, and operational constraints. Key considerations include patient time-window restrictions, workload distribution to prevent burnout, real-time emergency response, and ethical concerns like data privacy. Optimizing travel time and complying with labor laws are also crucial for efficient scheduling and meeting patient expectations.

### A. Objective function

In Home Health Care (HHC), the objective function balances provider efficiency and patient-centered care. For providers, goals include minimizing operational costs, optimizing caregiver routes, and ensuring fair workloads [13], which prevent burnout and improve resource sustainability [6], [10].

For patients, objectives focus on care quality, including timely visits, service continuity, and satisfaction [14], [16], directly impacting health outcomes.

The synergy between these goals is critical: efficient resource management enhances service delivery, while patient satisfaction reinforces provider performance. Multi-objective models dynamically integrate these priorities, enabling adaptive systems that reconcile cost efficiency with high-quality care in HHC's demanding environment.

### B. Constraints

Home Health Care (HHC) optimization faces several critical constraints that impact both providers and patients. These constraints, arising from operational, ethical, and regulatory challenges, complicate scheduling and resource allocation. Below, we outline the key constraints identified in the literature, supported by references from recent studies.

- **Patient time-window restrictions:** Patients require care at specific times, creating scheduling difficulties [13].
- **Balancing caregiver workloads to prevent burnout:** Unevenly distributed assignments can lead to fatigue and reduced efficiency [16].
- **Managing urgent and unforeseen service requests:** Emergency patient needs require real-time adaptability in scheduling [2].
- **Patient demand variability and real-time changes:** Emergencies, cancellations, or new patient requests

<sup>\*</sup>Université de Tunis, ISGT, SMART Lab, Tunisie

<sup>1</sup> abir.chaabani@gmail.com

<sup>2</sup> massaoudi.ghofran@gmail.com

can occur unexpectedly, requiring dynamic scheduling adjustments [14].

- **Ethical considerations, including patient data privacy and security:** Data protection laws necessitate secure handling of patient information [11].
- **Travel time and route optimization:** Efficient routing reduces costs and ensures timely service [2].
- **Limited availability of skilled caregivers:** High demand and workforce shortages impact scheduling efficiency [16].
- **Cost constraints in outsourcing services:** Budget limitations affect the feasibility of external workforce support [14].
- **Regulatory compliance and labor laws:** Ensuring fair wages, overtime management, and legal adherence pose additional challenges [16].

#### IV. KEY PROBLEMS IN HOME HEALTH CARE

This section highlights key challenges or problem tasks in HHC from both tactical and strategic perspectives. On a tactical level, caregiver scheduling, routing, and patient-caregiver matching are influenced by fluctuating patient needs [5], caregiver availability [16], and real-time emergencies [2]. Geographic constraints and skill requirements also affect workforce assignment [14], influencing efficiency and care quality [16].

Strategically, resource allocation and capacity planning must address rising service demands while optimizing costs [13]. Managing staff workloads, ensuring regulatory compliance [16], and handling patient demand variability are critical. As well, risk management is essential for maintaining continuity, especially during disruptions like natural disasters or pandemics [2].

Balancing operational efficiency [16] with patient-centered care is crucial [14]. Insights from Chabouha et. al [13] guide improvements in HHC planning and decision-making. The following subsections address key tactical challenges, including assignment, scheduling, and demand forecasting.

##### A. Assignment problems in HHC

Assignment problems in Home Healthcare focus on the efficient allocation of caregivers, resources, and tasks to ensure high-quality patient care. Efficient caregiver assignment plays a pivotal role in balancing workloads [2], reducing travel time, and ensuring timely care [16]. However, this process is complicated by geographic limitations, fluctuating patient needs, and caregiver availability [5]. Additionally, skill-based matching and unpredictable demand further challenge workforce allocation, impacting both service quality and operational efficiency. To address these issues, strategies integrating machine learning and optimization techniques—such as particle swarm optimization and hybrid memetic algorithms—are being explored.

##### B. Scheduling problems in HHC

Another critical component in managing Home Healthcare (HHC) is scheduling, which involves assigning caregivers to

patients while considering various constraints. Scheduling in HHC is inherently complex due to factors such as patient time windows, caregiver availability, and the need to respond to emergencies. The dynamic nature of patient conditions and workforce availability further necessitates real-time adaptability and proactive rescheduling strategies [2]. To address these challenges, hybrid optimization models—such as variable neighborhood search combined with machine learning [14]—have been applied. These models improve efficiency and ensure continuity of care by responding to sudden changes while maintaining service quality [16].

##### C. Demand planning

Accurately forecasting demand is essential for effective resource planning and caregiver allocation in HHC [17]. However, predicting patient needs is challenging due to variability in requests, seasonal patterns, and last-minute cancellations [14]. To mitigate these uncertainties, machine learning models—such as predictive analytics—are increasingly being integrated into HHC systems. These models analyze historical data to anticipate demand, optimize staffing levels [2], and improve service reliability. By minimizing scheduling gaps and enhancing long-term planning, such approaches ensure a more efficient and responsive HHC system.

#### V. OPTIMIZATION TECHNIQUES FOR HOME HEALTH CARE

This section explores HHC optimization techniques, covering caregiver scheduling, routing, and cost-service quality trade-offs. Models include mono-objective (e.g., cost minimization), multi-objective (e.g., cost vs. satisfaction), dynamic (e.g., demand fluctuations), and bilevel approaches (e.g., caregiver-routing matching). Solution methods range from exact (e.g., MILP) to heuristics (e.g., VNS, GA, PSO) and hybrid approaches like Memetic Algorithms, which blend global and local search for efficient, high-quality solutions.

##### A. Problem Modeling in HHC

In the literature, the Home Health Care Problem has been modeled using various approaches to address its complexity and multi-faceted nature. Below is an overview of commonly used models:

- **Mono-Objective Optimization Models:** These models are widely used to enhance different aspects of HHC operations, such as minimizing travel time or reducing operational costs. Mixed-Integer Linear Programming (MILP) has been widely used in this context, as highlighted by Rekabi et al. [14].
- **Multi-Objective Optimization Models:** These models aim to balance conflicting objectives, such as cost reduction and patient satisfaction. Multi-Objective Evolutionary Algorithms (MOEAs), discussed by Belhor et al. [6], are often applied in such scenarios.
- **Dynamic and Stochastic Models:** These models account for uncertainties such as fluctuating patient demands and real-time schedule adjustments. Reinforcement Learning

and Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) have been explored for handling uncertainty by Zarrouk et al.[2].

- **Bilevel Optimization Models:** These models address hierarchical decision-making, where the upper level prioritizes patient satisfaction by matching caregivers' qualifications with preferences, while the lower level optimizes routing and scheduling to Chaabani et al. [15].

#### *B. Resolution methods*

HHC optimization relies on various resolution strategies, ranging from classical mathematical models to heuristic and metaheuristic approaches. These methods are designed to efficiently allocate resources, optimize scheduling, and ensure service quality. In the following we discuss the main methods proposed in the literature to address this optimization task.

- **Exact Optimization Methods:** These methods provide mathematically optimal solutions by formulating HHC scheduling and routing problems as optimization models. Mixed-Integer Linear Programming (MILP) and Constraint Programming (CP) are widely used to optimize service allocation, as discussed by Rekabi et al. [14]. While effective, these methods become computationally intensive for large-scale problems.
- **Heuristic Approaches:** Heuristics offer approximate solutions within reasonable computational times by employing problem-specific rules. Adaptive Large Neighborhood Search (ALNS) and Greedy Algorithms help improve scheduling efficiency by iteratively modifying caregiver assignments. These approaches are highlighted in Pan et al. [4], where heuristics are used to refine caregiver routes dynamically.
- **Metaheuristic Methods:** Metaheuristics build upon heuristics by incorporating stochastic search strategies to escape local optima and improve solution quality. Techniques such as Variable Neighborhood Search (VNS), Genetic Algorithms (GA), and Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) have been applied to workforce scheduling and routing. Zarrouk et al.[2] demonstrates the effectiveness of PSO in optimizing human resource planning under uncertain demand conditions.
- **Hybrid Heuristic-Optimization Models:** To improve scalability and adaptability, hybrid models combine heuristics with optimization techniques, leveraging the strengths of both. Studies like Pan et al. [4] illustrate how Memetic Algorithms integrate global optimization with local refinement for improved decision-making in caregiver scheduling.

## VI. MACHINE LEARNING IN HOME HEALTH CARE OPTIMIZATION

This section dedicated to solve HHC problems into supervised, unsupervised, and reinforcement learning. It highlights their applications in HHC, such as predictive patient risk assessment, clustering for efficient routing, and reinforcement learning-based adaptive scheduling. We also explore hybrid models that integrate especially ML with

optimization techniques to achieve superior performance in caregiver assignment and resource distribution.

#### *A. Supervised learning*

Supervised learning plays a critical role in Home Health Care (HHC) by leveraging labeled data to predict patient outcomes and optimize scheduling. Algorithms such as Decision Trees, Support Vector Machines (SVM), and Neural Networks are commonly used to classify patient risks, such as deterioration or hospitalization likelihood, and to optimize appointment scheduling. For instance, Ma et al.[8] demonstrated the use of supervised learning for patient risk classification and scheduling optimization, highlighting its effectiveness in improving care delivery. Additionally, Zarrouk et al.[2] explored offline learning approaches, where models are trained on historical data to predict future patient needs and optimize resource allocation. These techniques enable HHC providers to proactively address patient needs, reduce operational inefficiencies, and enhance service quality.

#### *B. Unsupervised Learning*

Unsupervised learning methods are invaluable in HHC for identifying patterns in unlabeled data, enabling more efficient service delivery. Techniques such as K-means, Hierarchical Clustering, and DBSCAN are used to segment patients based on medical conditions, geographic locations, or service requirements [16]. These clustering approaches help optimize routing and personalize care delivery by grouping patients with similar needs. For example, Rekabi et al.[14] applied clustering techniques to enhance routing efficiency and service customization, demonstrating how unsupervised learning can improve operational efficiency in HHC. By identifying patterns in patient data, unsupervised learning enables providers to allocate resources more effectively and tailor care plans to individual patient needs.

#### *C. Reinforcement Learning (RL)*

Reinforcement Learning (RL) is a powerful approach in HHC for optimizing scheduling and resource allocation through trial-and-error learning. RL models learn optimal policies by interacting with the environment, making them highly adaptable to dynamic changes in patient needs and caregiver availability. For instance, Chen et al.[5] integrated RL with Variable Neighborhood Search (RL-VNS) to enhance caregiver routing and scheduling in dynamic environments. This hybrid approach enables real-time adjustments to schedules, reducing caregiver travel times and ensuring timely service delivery. RL-based optimization is particularly effective in handling uncertainties, such as last-minute cancellations or emergency requests, making it a valuable tool for improving the responsiveness and efficiency of HHC systems [2].

## VII. HYBRID APPROACHES: COMBINING ML AND OPTIMIZATION METHODS

This section explores hybrid methodologies that integrate ML-driven predictive analytics with optimization-based scheduling to enhance efficiency in HHC. We examine the

effectiveness of Reinforcement Learning and other hybrid algorithms in tackling real-world HHC challenges. Additionally, case studies showcasing successful applications of these models are presented.

Based on the reviewed literature, we emphasize hybrid models, metaheuristic algorithms, and machine learning techniques, which are widely recognized as the most effective approaches for addressing the complexities of HHC scheduling and resource management. These hybrid approaches are mainly categorized into the following:

#### *A. Hybrid Memetic Algorithms*

Combining multiple optimization techniques to leverage their strengths and improve solution quality. Hybrid Memetic Algorithms (HMAs) integrate global search (e.g., genetic algorithms) with local search techniques (e.g., tabu search) to solve caregiver routing, workload balancing, and dynamic rescheduling in HHC. For example, Chen et al. [5] used HMAs to optimize caregiver routes, reducing travel time and costs while improving service quality. Fathollahi-Fard et al. [16] applied HMAs to balance caregiver workloads, ensuring fair task distribution and preventing burnout. Zarrouk et al. [2] demonstrated HMAs' effectiveness in handling emergencies by dynamically rescheduling caregivers. These algorithms are particularly useful in dynamic environments where real-time adjustments are needed, such as sudden patient cancellations or urgent care requests.

#### *B. Reinforcement Learning with Metaheuristics*

Integrating reinforcement learning to guide metaheuristic search processes, enhancing adaptability and convergence. Reinforcement Learning (RL), including Q-Learning and Deep Q-Learning, is combined with metaheuristics like Variable Neighborhood Search (VNS) to optimize caregiver scheduling and dynamic routing in uncertain environments. For instance, Chen et al. [5] integrated RL with VNS to adapt schedules in real-time, minimizing travel distances and respecting patient time windows. Bello et al. [18] used Neural Combinatorial Optimization with RL to solve nurse routing problems, ensuring timely care delivery. This approach is ideal for handling last-minute cancellations, urgent requests, and other uncertainties in HHC. Deep Q-Learning, in particular, is effective for complex decision-making tasks, such as optimizing caregiver routes in large-scale HHC systems [7].

#### *C. Supervised Learning with Heuristics*

Supervised learning models, such as Support Vector Machines (SVM) and Random Forest, are combined with heuristic optimization techniques to address predictive scheduling and demand forecasting in HHC. Ma et al. [8] used supervised learning to predict patient demand in Adult Day Health Care Centers, integrating it with heuristic optimization to allocate resources efficiently. Rekabi et al. [14] applied similar techniques to forecast patient needs and improve caregiver schedules, reducing costs and enhancing service quality. For example, SVM and Random Forest can predict patient no-shows or hospitalization risks, enabling proactive care

interventions. This approach is particularly effective for long-term planning and strategic resource allocation, especially when combined with heuristic methods like Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) or Genetic Algorithms (GA). Further validation of these hybrid approaches is demonstrated in the study by Mansoori et al.[19].

#### *D. Clustering with Evolutionary Algorithms*

Clustering techniques like K-means are combined with Evolutionary Algorithms (e.g., NSGA-II) to group patients and optimize caregiver assignment and multi-objective scheduling in HHC. Belhor et al. [6] used this approach to cluster patients based on geographic location and medical needs, optimizing caregiver routes to minimize travel time while maximizing patient satisfaction. For instance, K-means clustering can group patients with similar conditions or locations, allowing caregivers to visit multiple patients in a single trip. This method is especially useful in large-scale HHC systems with diverse patient populations, ensuring efficient and personalized care delivery.

The hybrid models described above have been evaluated against multiple metrics, with significant improvements in operational efficiency, cost reduction, and patient satisfaction across various case studies.

## VIII. CHALLENGES AND LIMITATIONS

This section explores the key challenges in implementing machine learning (ML) and optimization techniques in Home Health Care (HHC), including data availability, computational complexity, ethical considerations, and model interpretability. To address these obstacles, we propose potential solutions such as improved data collection mechanisms, explainable AI models, and enhanced training programs for healthcare professionals.

The successful integration of ML and optimization methods in HHC depends on overcoming these challenges, which can otherwise hinder the effectiveness and scalability of advanced solutions. This section outlines four primary challenges, supplemented with examples and proposed solutions from relevant studies:

- 1) **Data Quality and Availability:** is essential for accurate ML models in HHC, yet datasets often suffer from incompleteness, inconsistency, or noise [3]; [8]. Two promising solutions include: (1) synthetic data generation via GANs [3], achieving 88% fidelity in demand pattern simulation, and (2) federated learning [8] for privacy-preserving decentralized training. While effective, both approaches require careful bias mitigation [3].

**Example:** The authors Chen et al. [5] emphasize the importance of accurate data for optimizing caregiver routes. However, real-world data often contains missing values or inconsistencies, which affect the reliability of optimization models.

**Solution:** The article suggests enhancing data collection methods and preprocessing techniques, such as data normalization and feature selection, to improve data

quality. Additionally, the use of synthetic data generation techniques, like ADASYN (Adaptive Synthetic Sampling), is proposed to handle imbalanced datasets. This is discussed by AWAL et al. [3].

2) **Complexity of Real-World Scenarios:** Real-world HHC scenarios are highly dynamic, involving fluctuating patient needs, caregiver availability, and last-minute scheduling changes. Traditional optimization models often struggle to adapt to these complexities, leading to inefficiencies in resource allocation.

**Example:** The authors Belhor et al. [6] discuss the computational challenges of solving multi-objective optimization problems, especially when scaling to larger networks with more patients and caregivers.

**Solution:** The article proposes using metaheuristic algorithms, such as the Red Deer Algorithm (RDA), to improve computational efficiency. Furthermore, the integration of cloud computing and distributed processing techniques is suggested to handle large-scale data and reduce computational overhead, as described by Ma et al. in [8].

In fact, several other real-world complexities in HHC, such as dynamic patient demands, uncertainty in caregiver availability, and real-time scheduling adjustments, should be addressed by researchers.

3) **Ethical Considerations and Patient Privacy:** Handling patient data requires strict compliance with privacy regulations, such as HIPAA (Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act) and GDPR (General Data Protection Regulation). Ensuring data privacy while leveraging ML for optimization is a significant challenge in HHC.

**Example:** The authors Fatime et al. [9] emphasize the need for robust data protection measures when using patient data for scheduling and resource allocation.

**Solution:** The article suggests the use of federated learning, a decentralized approach to ML, where models are trained across multiple devices or servers without transferring sensitive patient data. This approach is highlighted by Ma et al. in [8] as a promising method to enhance data privacy while improving model performance.

4) **Scalability and Computational Efficiency:** While hybrid models perform well in moderate-sized HHC systems, scaling them to larger networks or more complex patient flows introduces computational challenges. The ability to handle real-time data and adapt to dynamic changes is crucial for large-scale deployment.

**Example:** The authors Sheikhasadi et al. [10] note that while the proposed optimization model works well for moderate-sized HHD production, scaling to larger networks remains a challenge.

**Solution:** The article suggests the use of distributed computing frameworks and parallel processing techniques to improve scalability. Additionally, integrating real-time data streams and edge computing is proposed to handle large-scale data and ensure timely decision-

making. This is further elaborated by Ma et al. in [8]. Home Health Care problem faces several challenges, both operational and strategic, due to the complexity and the need to manage resources efficiently while delivering high-quality care. Some of the main challenges are summarized in this section. In fact, interested readers can see Chabouha et al.[13]

## IX. DISCUSSION AND FUTURE RESEARCH DIRECTIONS

This section highlights key open research areas in HHC optimization, such as deep learning for patient prediction, federated learning for secure data sharing, and reinforcement learning for real-time scheduling. To structure these advancements, we present 1, which summarizes mono-objective, multi-objective, and bi-level models, along with hybrid approaches combining machine learning and optimization.

Fig. 1. A Taxonomy of Optimization and Learning-Based Resolution Methods in HHC

| Resolution Methods for HHC basing on OPT and ML Algorithms  |                 |  |  |  |
|---|-----------------|--|--|--|
| Article   | Model Type      | Optimization algorithms  | Machine Learning algorithms  | Hybrid (ML...OPT) algorithms   |
| 1. Soltani et al. [27]<br>2. Ahmed et al. [28]<br>3. Liu et al. [25]<br>4. Euchi et al. [26]<br>5. En-nahli et al. [22]<br>6. Afif et al. [23]<br>7. Afif et al. [24] | Mono-Objective  | 3. Memetic Algorithm, Hybrid Genetic General Variable Neighborhood Search, Hybrid Genetic Simulated Annealing and Hybrid Simulated Annealing [25]<br>4. Ant Colony System [26]<br>5. Variable Neighborhood and Random Variable Neighborhood Descent with Iterated Local Search [22]<br>6. Simulated Annealing with Integrated Local Search [23]<br>7. Tabu Search [24] | 3. Long Short-Term Memory [27]<br>2. XGBoost (Extreme Gradient Boosting), AdaBoost (Adaptive Boosting) and Multi-Layer Perceptron [28] | 2. Tabu Search + XGBoost, Tabu Search + AdaBoost and Tabu Search + Multi-Layer Perceptron [28] |
| 1. Makhoul et al. [20]<br>2. Kordi et al. [21]  | Multi-Objective | 1. Non-dominated Sorting Genetic Algorithm II [20]<br>2. Multi-Objective Variable Neighborhood Search [21]   | -  | -  |
| 3. Fathollahi-Fard et al. [16]  | Multi-Objective | 3. Improved Multi-Objective Red Deer Algorithm [16]  | -  | -  |
| 1. Chaabani et al. [15]   | Bi-Level        | 1. Coin-or Branch and Cut (solver for MILP) [15]   | -  | -  |

**Real-Time Data Integration:** Adaptive algorithms are needed to handle real-time changes in patient needs and caregiver availability. Current models like 2LPSO [2] and memetic algorithms [4] face challenges in scaling and adapting to dynamic healthcare systems.

**Federated Learning:** This approach enhances data privacy by decentralizing model training, keeping sensitive patient data local. It improves demand forecasting and resource allocation in HHC.[8].

**Deep Learning and Reinforcement Learning:** Integrating deep learning with RL, such as Deep Q-Learning (DQN) [12], can optimize scheduling and route planning. Future research should explore Deep Reinforcement Learning (DRL) for secure, real-time optimization in healthcare IoT systems, enabling more resilient and efficient care delivery.

**Social Aspects:** Future HHC research should focus on human-centered optimization: (1) longitudinal studies to measure how workload-balancing algorithms affect caregiver retention [WHO, 2022], (2) equity-aware scheduling using geospatial clustering for rural access [6], and (3) explainable AI to build

trust in scheduling decisions [11]. These steps align with WHO's human-centered care principles.

## X. CONCLUSION

This paper explored the integration of ML and Optimization in Home Healthcare (HHC), addressing critical challenges in scheduling, routing, and demand forecasting through traditional, metaheuristic, and hybrid approaches. While these methods improve efficiency and patient satisfaction, persistent barriers like data scarcity, ethical concerns, and workforce constraints necessitate innovative solutions. Future research should prioritize advanced techniques such as Deep Q-Learning for dynamic decision-making and federated learning for privacy-preserving data collaboration, alongside human-centric integration that balances algorithmic innovation with caregiver well-being and equitable care access. Exploring bi-level frameworks like Chaabani et al. [29]'s memetic algorithm for Bi-MDVRP/Bi-CVRP could optimize HHC routing. Sustainable HHC requires both technical innovation and equitable care integration.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Mariem BELHOR, Adnen EL-AMRAOUI, François DELMOTTE and Abderrazak JEMAI, "A New MIP Model and Machine Learning Approach for Home Health Care: Optimization of Cancer Treatment Process by Chemotherapy," *IEEE*, vol. 7, 2022.
- [2] Rim Zarrouk, Ramzi Mahmoudi, Mouhamed Hedi Bedoui and Yu-Chen Hu, "Home health care: particle swarm optimization for human resource planning under uncertainty," *Multimedia Tools and Applications*, vol. 34, 2024.
- [3] MD. ABDUL AWAL, MD. SHAHADAT HOSSAIN, MEHEDI MASUD, ABDULLAH AL-MAMUN BULBUL, S.M.HASAN MAHMUD and ANUPAM KUMAR BAIRAGI, "A Novel Bayesian Optimization-Based Machine Learning Framework for COVID-19 Detection From Inpatient Facility Data," *IEEE*, vol. 19, 2020.
- [4] Qiao Pan and Zhaofang Mao, "ENHANCING EFFICIENCY IN THE FACE OF DISRUPTION TIME : A HYBRID MEMETIC-ANS OPTIMIZATION ALGORITHM FOR THE HOME HEALTH CARE AND HOME CARE ROUTING AND RESCHEDULING PROBLEM," *arXiv*, vol. 29, 2024.
- [5] Binhu Chen, Rong Qu, Ruibin Bai and Wasakorn Laesanklang, "A variable neighborhood search algorithm with reinforcement learning for a real-life periodic vehicle routing problem with time windows and open routes," *Operations Research*, vol. 42, 2019.
- [6] Mariem Belhor, Adnen El-Amraoui, Abderrazak Jemai and François Delmotte, "Multi-objective evolutionary approach based on K-means clustering for home health care routing and scheduling problem," *Expert Systems With Applications*, vol. 15, 2023.
- [7] Irwan Bello, Hieu Pham, Quoc V. Le, Mohammad Norouzi and Samy Bengio, "NEURAL COMBINATORIAL OPTIMIZATION WITH REINFORCEMENT LEARNING," *arXiv*, vol. 15, 2017.
- [8] Daobo Ma, Wenxuan Zheng and Tianyu Lu, "Machine Learning-Based Predictive Model for Service Quality Assessment and Policy Optimization in Adult Day Health Care Centers," *Spectrum of Research*, vol. 20, 2024.
- [9] Sheraz Fatima, "HEALTHCARE COST OPTIMIZATION: LEVERAGING MACHINE LEARNING TO IDENTIFY INEFFICIENCIES IN HEALTHCARE SYSTEMS," *International Journal of Advanced Research in Engineering Technology and Science*, vol. 12, 2024.
- [10] Mohammad Sheikhasadi, Amir Aghsami, Amirkhossein Hosseinpour, Mohammad Alipour-Vaez and Masoud Rabbani, "Portfolio design for home healthcare devices production using a new data-driven optimization methodology," *Soft Computing*, vol. 20, 2023.
- [11] Patibandla Pavithra Roy, Ventrapragada Teju, Srinivasa Rao Kandula, Anca Ioana Stan and Ovidiu Petru Stan, "Secure Healthcare Model Using Multi-Step Deep Q Learning Network in Internet of Things," *Electronics*, vol. 11, 2024.
- [12] Nabil M. AbdelAziz, Gehan A. Fouad2, Safa Al-Saeed and Amira M. Fawzy, "Deep Q-Network (DQN) Model for Disease Prediction Using Electronic Health Records (EHRs)," *Electronics*, vol. 15, 2025.
- [13] Safa Chabouha, Adnen El-Amraoui, Sondes Hammamia and Hanen Bouchriha, "A systematic review of the home healthcare planning literature: Emerging trends and future research directions," *Decision Analytics Journal*, vol. 12, 2023.
- [14] Shabnam Rekabi, Babak Moradi, Farima Salamian, Niloofar Fadavi, Mahsa Zokaei and Amir Aghsami, "A home healthcare routing-scheduling optimization model considering time-balancing and outsourcing," *Supply Chain Analytics*, vol. 17, 2024.
- [15] Abir Chaabani, Sarra Jedd1 and Lamjed Ben Said, "A New Bi-level Modeling for the Home Health Care Problem Considering Patients Preferences," *International Conference on Control, Decision and Information Technologies*, vol. 6, 2024.
- [16] Amir M. Fathollahi-Fard, Abbas Ahmadi and Behrooz Karimi, "Multi-Objective Optimization of Home Healthcare with Working-Time Balancing and Care Continuity," *Sustainability*, vol. 33, 2021.
- [17] Andrés Leiva-Araos, Cristián Contreras, Hemani Kaushal and Zornitza Prodanoff, "Predictive Optimization of Patient No-Show Management in Primary Healthcare Using Machine Learning," *Journal of Medical Systems*, vol. 26, 2024.
- [18] Irwan Bello, Hieu Pham, Quoc V. Le, Mohammad Norouzi and Samy Bengio, "NEURAL COMBINATORIAL OPTIMIZATION WITH REINFORCEMENT LEARNING," *arXiv*, vol. 15, 2017.
- [19] Atefeh Mansoori, Masoomeh Zeinalnezhad and Leila Nazarimanesh, "Optimization of Tree-Based Machine Learning Models to Predict the Length of Hospital Stay Using Genetic Algorithm," *Journal of Healthcare Engineering*, vol. 14, 2023.
- [20] Salma Makboula, Said Kharrajab, Abderrahman Abbasic and Ahmed El Hilali Alaoui, "A Multi-Objective Approach for Weekly Green Home Health Care Routing and Scheduling Problem with Care Continuity and Synchronized Services," *Operations Research Perspectives*, vol. 19, 2024.
- [21] Gh. Kordi, A. Divsalar and S. Emami, "Multi-objective home health care routing: a variable neighborhood search method," *Optimization Letters*, vol. 42, 2023.
- [22] Laila En-nahli, Sohaib Afifi, Hamid Allaoui and Issam Nouaouri, "Local Search Analysis for a Vehicle Routing Problem with Synchronization and Time Windows Constraints in Home Health Care Services," *Conferences —International Federation of Automatic Control*, vol. 06, 2016.
- [23] Sohaib Afifi, Duc-Cuong Dang and Aziz Moukrim, "Heuristic solutions for the vehicle routing problem with time windows and synchronized visits," *Optimization Letters*, vol. 15, 2016.
- [24] Sohaib Afifi, Duc-Cuong Dang and Aziz Moukrim, "A robust optimization for a home health care routing and scheduling problem with consideration of uncertain travel and service times," *HAL Open Science*, vol. 62, 2021.
- [25] Wenheng Liu, Mahjoub Dridi, Hongying Fei and Amir Hajjam El Hassani, "Hybrid metaheuristics for solving a home health care routing and scheduling problem with time windows, synchronized visits and lunch breaks," *Expert Systems With Applications*, vol. 24, 2021.
- [26] Jalel Euchi, Salah Zidi and Lamri Laouamer, "A Hybrid Approach to Solve the Vehicle Routing Problem with Time Windows and Synchronized Visits In-Home Health Care," *Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering*, vol. 16, 2020.
- [27] Marzieh Soltani, Mohammad Farahmand and Ahmad Reza Pourghaderi, "Machine learning-based demand forecasting in cancer palliative care home hospitalization," *Journal of Biomedical Informatics*, vol. 12, 2022.
- [28] Abdulaziz Ahmed, Omar Ashour, Haneen Ali and Mohammad Firouz, "An integrated optimization and machine learning approach to predict the admission status of emergency patients," *Expert Systems With Applications*, vol. 17, 2022.
- [29] Abir Chaabani, Slim Bechikh and Lamjed Ben Said, "A memetic evolutionary algorithm for bi-level combinatorial optimization: A realization between Bi-MDVRP and Bi-CVRP," *IEEE Congress on Evolutionary Computation (CEC)*, vol. 9, 2016.
- [30] CareVoyant, "Home Health Care Trends 2025," [Online]. Available: <https://www.carevoyant.com/home-health-blog/home-health-care-trends-2025>. [Accessed: 6-Mar-2025].